IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 20404 of 2004

Between:

Smt. S. Shameem, w/o Late Allabakash,
R/o 2/175-2, 3rd Road, Near Income Tax Office, Anantapur,
Anantapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Anantapur Region, Anantapur,
Anantapur District.

2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Dharmavaram Depot, Dharmavaram,

Anantapur District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondents in issuing proceedings dt. 4-2-2004 rejecting the claim of the Petitioner for appointment to the post of Conductor Grade - II/Shramik in pursuance of Circular PD.No. 30/2000, dt. 5-5-2000 as wholly illegal, arbitrary and unjust, and consequently set aside the proceedings of the 1st Respondent dt. 4-2-2004 and direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner in the post of conductor grade-II or Shramik in pursuance of the circular PD No. 3/2000 dt. 5-5-2000 or any other suitable post on compassionate grounds.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.RAVI MOHAN

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in issue proceedings, dated 4.2.2004 rejecting the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Conductor Grade-II/Shramik in pursuance of Circular P.D.No.30/2000, dated 5.5.2000 as illegal and arbitrary.

Heard the learned counsel for both the parties.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is fully qualified. As per the Circular orders, she has to be appointed as Shramik or Conductor Grade-II. since she has passed X class.

The case of the petitioner was not considered, but rejected by the impugned proceedings, dated 4.2.2004 on the ground that she was not possessing requisite qualification for any post. Now, the petitioner filed T.C. (Transfer Certificate) showing that she appeared for X class.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with the scheme available and also subject to the availability of vacancies, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above direction. There shall be no order as to costs.

(K.C. BHANU, J.)

28th December, 2004.

bcj

To

1. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Anantapur Region, Anantapur, Anantapur District.
2. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Dharmavaram Depot, Dharmavaram,

Anantapur District.

3. Two C.D. copies